

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,418	02/09/2004	Katsuhiko Hara	00862.022146.1	6585	
5514 7	5514 7590 11/02/2006			EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			SORRELL, ERON J		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2182		
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/773,418	HARA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eron J. Sorreli	2182			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	i. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	arch 2004.				
·		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allower	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		•		
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 32-47 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	·			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>32-47</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers		·			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 09 February 2004 is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/805,883.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	' ' '				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/04;12/8/05;5/26/06</u> .	••				

Application/Control Number: 10/773,418

Art Unit: 2182

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language. // ///
- 2. Claims 32,33,37,38,42,43, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Poger et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,772,420 hereinafter "Poger").
- 3. Referring to apparatus claim 32, method claim 37, and system claim 42, Poger teaches an information processing apparatus (item 115 in figure 1) connected with an external information processing apparatus (item 110 in figure 1) and a peripheral apparatus (items 154 and 156 in figure 1) via a network (item 120 in figure 1), comprising:

obtaining means for obtaining a device driver (network device 115 receives driver software from server 110), which controls the peripheral device, delivered by the external

Art Unit: 2182

information processing apparatus (server 110) in response to a delivery of the device driver to the external information processing apparatus (see step 240 in figure 2), wherein the device driver is obtained from the external information processing apparatus without issuing to the external information processing apparatus a request for the device driver (see lines 43-47 of column 5, note Poger teaches the driver is installed automatically); and

control means for preparing the device driver obtained by the obtaining means so that the device driver is in an executable state (see lines 39-42 of column 2, note that preparing the driver so that it is in an executable state is part of the installation process).

4. Referring to apparatus claim 33, method claim 38, and system claim 43, Poger teaches the information processing apparatus further comprising driving means for, after obtaining the device driver, executing the device driver in response to completion of preparation of the device driver to drive the peripheral device (see lines 39-42 of column 1, note once the information processing device has the driver installed it can start controlling the peripheral).

Application/Control Number: 10/773,418
Art Unit: 2182

5. Referring to claim 47, Poger teaches a network system comprising a peripheral device (items 154 and 156 in figure 1), a first information processing apparatus (server 110 in figure 1) storing a device driver (item 138 in figure 1 memory for storing driver software) for driving the peripheral device, and a second information processing apparatus (see item 115 in figure 1),

wherein said first information processing apparatus includes:

transfer means for transferring the device driver from said first information processing apparatus without receiving a request for obtaining the device driver from said second information processing apparatus (driver software transferred from server 110 to network device 115, see lines 27-35 of column 5), and

wherein said second information processing apparatus includes:

obtaining means for obtaining, from said first information processing apparatus, the device driver transferred from said first information processing apparatus network device 115 receives driver software from server 110; and storing means (item 158 in figure 1) for storing the driver and setting the peripheral device to a controllable status in response to the

Art Unit: 2182

obtaining means obtaining the device driver (see lines 39-42 of column 2, note that preparing the driver so that it is in an executable state is part of the installation process).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 34,36,39,41,44, and 46 are rejected under 35
  U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poger in view of Marbry
  et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,692,111 hereinafter "Marbry").
- 8. Referring to apparatus claim 34, method claim 39, and system claim 44, Poger fails to teach the peripheral device includes a printing apparatus and the device driver is a printer driver.

Marbry teaches, in an analogous system, the above limitation (see lines 18-35 of column 3).

Page 6

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Poger with the above teachings of Marbry in order to execute print lines jobs over the network as suggested by Marbry (see kiens 1-11 of column 2).

9. Referring to apparatus claim 36, method claim 41, and system claim 46, Marbry the obtaining means remotely calls a reception program for receiving the device driver in the external information processing apparatus via a remote procedure call (see lines 16-35 of column 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Poger with the above teachings of Marbry for the same reasons as mentioned above.

- 10. Claims 35,40, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poger in view Marbry as applied to claims 34,39, and 44 above, and further in view of Shimizu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,609,162 hereinafter "Shimizu").
- 11. Referring to apparatus claim 35, method claim 40, and system claim 45, the combination of Poger and Marbry fails to

Art Unit: 2182

teach the information processing apparatus having input means for inputting image information, wherein the driving means controls the printing apparatus to print the image information by executing the device driver.

Shimizu teaches, in an analogous system, an information processing apparatus (item 102 in figure 2) having input means for inputting image information (item 201 in figure 2), wherein the driving means controls the printing apparatus to print the image information by executing the device driver (see lines 27-36 of column 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the Poger-Marbry combination with the above teachings of Shimizu in order to provide a data processing apparatus having improved operability which can use a realizable function via a network as if the function is provided by a single device as suggested by Shimizu (see paragraph bridging columns 1 and 2).

#### Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following US Patent is cited to further show the state of the art as it pertains to the applicant's invention:

US Patent No. 6,789,111 teaches a method and system for installing drivers for newly installed peripheral devices automatically;

U.S. Patent No. 5,640,562 to Wold et al. teaches preparing a driver so that it is in an executable state is part of the driver installation process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eron J. Sorrell whose telephone number is 571 272-4160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on 571-272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EJS October 18, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATERIE.

129/06